

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/155,605	05/22/2002	Tassie Collins	T01-010-2	2249
75	90 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
Tularik Inc.			GERSTL, ROBERT	
Two Corporate Drive So. San Francisco, CA 94080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
So. San Francis	co, CA 94080		1626 DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/155,605	COLLINS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Robert Gerstl	1626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 221	<u>May 2002</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the application.								
	4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documer								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-69 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising W-X-Y-Z, R1, R2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Gerstl whose telephone number is 703 308-4531. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (7-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe McKane can be reached on 703 308-4537. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

Robert Gerstl Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626



United States Patent and Trademark Office

TMENT OF COMMERC

United States Patent and Trademark Office
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vogania 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/155,605	05/22/2002	Tassie Collins	T01-010-2	2249
20350	7590 07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			SACKEY, EBENEZER O	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		1626	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/200	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) **COLLINS ET AL** 10/155.605 Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner 1626 **EBENEZER SACKEY** - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 70-83 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 70-72 and 78 is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) ___ 6)⊠ Claim(s) 82 and 83 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 73-77 and 79-81 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03.

6) Other:

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 70-83 are pending.

Amendments:

Cancelled claims: 1-69.

Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt of the information disclosure statement filed on 10/14/03 is acknowledged and has been entered into the file. The signed copy of the 1449 is attached herewith.

Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/155,605

Art Unit: 1626

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of compounds embraced by Example 24 in the reply filed on 11/06/03 is acknowledged. The scope of the invention being examined is compound of formula (I) wherein:

W is indoly! (substituted)

X is S

 R^1 is C_{1-8} alkyl, substituted with NR'R', wherein R' and R" are independently aryl(C_{1-4} alkyl) i.e., substituted benzyl or unsubstituted C_{1-8} alkyl

R² is as defined.

Claims readable on the scope of the invention being examined are claims 73 in part, 74, 75 in part, 76, 77 in part, 79, 80 in part and 81 in part. Claims 70-72 and 78 stands withdrawn from further consideration under 37 CFR 1.142(b) as constituting other patentably distinct inventions. The withdrawn subject matter is properly restricted as said subject matter differs in structure and element from the elected subject matter so as to be patentably distinct therefrom, i.e. a reference which anticipated the elected subject matter would not even render obvious the withdrawn subject matter and, fields of search are not co-extensive.

Applicants are required to cancel the non-elected subject matter from the claims.

Oath/Declaration

It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an

Art Unit: 1626

address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 82 and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

For rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, the following factors must be considered (In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (CAFC, 1988)):

- 1) Nature of invention.
- 2) State of prior art.
- 3) Quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure
 - 4) Level of predictability in the art.
 - 5) Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor.
 - 6) Existence of working examples.
 - 7) Breadth of claims.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/155,605

Art Unit: 1626

8) Level of ordinary skill in the art.

See below:

In the instant case, applicants are claiming a method of treating any and all inflammatory conditions or diseases (claim 82) and multiple sclerosis and artherosclerosis (claim 83) diseases that are not encompassed by the terminology "inflammatory disease".

- to -

1) Nature of the invention.

The nature of the invention is methods of treating inflammatory conditions or diseases, multiple sclerosis and atherosclerosis, comprising administering the instant compound to a patient in need thereof. As stated, however, claim 82 recites that any or all-inflammatory condition or disease is intended.

2) State of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art.

The state of the prior art is that it involves screening *in vitro* and *in vivo* to determine which compounds exhibited the desired pharmacological activities (i.e. what compounds can treat which specific disease). There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic regimen on its face. By definition, multiple sclerosis is a CNS disease characterized by disseminated patches of demyelination in the brain and spinal cord, resulting in multiple and varied neurological symptoms and signs, usually with remissions and exacerbations. Atherosclerosis by far, the most common form of arteriosclerosis which is a generic term covering a

Art Unit: 1626

number of diseases of the blood vessels. These two diseases are not considered inflammatory diseases.

The instant claimed invention is highly unpredictable as discussed below:

10 2

It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. *In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 166 USPQ 18 (CCPA 1970) indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statue. Further, their mode of action is often unknown or very unpredictable and administration of the drugs can be accompanied by undesirable side effects."

Thus, in the absence of a showing of correlation between all the diseases claimed as capable of being treated by compounds of the instant claims, one of ordinary skill in the art is unable to fully predict possible results from the administration of the compounds due to the unpredictability of the role of inflammation.

3) Quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The quantity of experimentation needed is undue experimentation. One of ordinary skill in the art would need to determine which of the compounds of the invention would treat all inflammatory conditions or diseases.

4) Level of predictability in the art.

The art pertaining to the treatment of inflammatory conditions remain highly unpredictable. As disclosed above, there is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. Firstly, for a compound or genus to be effective against inflammation generally is contrary to medical science. Inflammation is a process that can take place in virtually any part of the body. There is a vast range of forms that it can take, causes for the

Art Unit: 1626

problem, and biochemical pathways that mediate the inflammatory reaction. There is no common mechanism by which all, or even most, inflammations arise. Mediators include bradykinin, serotonin, C3a, C5a, histamine, leukotrienes, cytokines, and many, many others. Accordingly, treatments for inflammation are normally tailored to the particular type of inflammation present, as there is no, and there can be no "magic bullet" against inflammation generally.

Inflammation is the reaction of vascularized tissue to local injury; it is the name given to the stereotyped ways tissues respond to noxious stimuli. These occur in two fundamentally different types. Acute inflammation is the response to recent or continuing injury. The principal features are dilatation and leaking of vessels, and recruitment of circulating neutrophils. Chronic inflammation or "late-phase inflammation" is a response to prolonged problems, orchestrated by T-helper lymphocytes. It may feature recruitment and activation of T- and B-lymphocytes, macrophages, eosinophils, and/or fibroblasts. The hallmark of chronic inflammation is infiltration of tissue with mononuclear inflammatory cells. Granulomas are seen in certain chronic inflammation situations. They are clusters of macrophages that have stuck tightly together, typically to wall something off. Granulomas can form with foreign bodies such as aspirated food, toxocara, silicone injections, and splinters.

Otitis media is an inflammation of the lining of the middle ear and is commonly caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae. Cystitis is an inflammation of the bladder, usually caused by bacteria. Blepharitis is a chronic inflammation of the eyelids that is caused by a staphylococcus. Dacryocystitis is

Art Unit: 1626

inflammation of the tear sac, and usually occurs after a long-term obstruction of the nasolacrimal duct and is caused by staphylococci or streptococci. Preseptal cellulitis is inflammation of the tissues around the eye, and Orbital cellulitis is an inflammatory process involving the layer of tissue that separates the eye itself from the eyelid. These life-threatening infections usually arise from staphylococcus. Hence, these types of inflammations are treated with antibiotics.

5) Amount of direction and guidance provided by the inventor.

The amount of direction or guidance present is found on pages 67-68 wherein in vitro TARC and/or MDC binding to CCR4 is provided. However, that embraces a myriad of inflammatory conditions. In addition, the gap between in vitro activity and in vivo utility is large enough to warrant thorough and compelling in vivo or clinical data.

6) Existence of working examples.

As discussed above, working example is found on pages 67-68 wherein *in vitro* radiolabelled TARC and/or MDC binding to CCR4 is provided. Applicant's limited working example does not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to treat the numerous amounts of diseases encompassed by the instant invention 7) Breadth of claims.

Claim 82 is extremely broad due to the vast number of possible diseases encompassed by the instant invention.

8) Level of ordinary skill in the art.

The level of ordinary skill in the art is high. Due to the unpredictability in the pharmaceutical art, it is noted that each embodiment of the invention is required to be individually assessed for physiological activity by *in vitro* and *in vivo* screening to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activity and which diseases would benefit from this activity.

Art Unit: 1626

Hence, the specification fails to provide sufficient support of the broad use of the compounds of the claims for the treatment of any disease. As a result necessitating one of ordinary skill in the art to perform an exhaustive search for which diseases can be treated by what compounds of the instant claims in order to practice the claimed invention.

Genentec Inc. V. Novo Nordisk A/S (CAFC) 42 USPQ 2D 1001, states that:

"a patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for search, but compensation for its successful conclusion" and "[p]atent protection is granted in return for an enabling disclosure of an invention, not for vague intimations of general ideas that may or may not be workable".

Therefore, in view of the Wands factors, and *In re Fisher* (CCPA 1970) discussed above, to practice the claimed invention herein, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have to engage in undue experimentation to test which diseases can be treated by the compounds encompassed in instant claims, with no assurance of success.

The above list is by no means complete, but demonstrates the extraordinary breadth of causes, mechanisms, and treatment (or lack thereof) for inflammation. It establishes that it is not reasonable to any agent to be able to treat inflammation generally.

This rejection can be overcome by deleting the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (703) 305-6889.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 1626

supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (703) 308-4537. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

EOS June 25, 2004

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1600 Technology Center 1